

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/903,210		07/11/2001	Masao Yamamoto	01-412	9975		
28970	7590	11/03/2003		EXAMINER			
SHAW PIT IP GROUP	TMAN	•	FINEMAN, LEE A				
1650 TYSO	NS BOU	LEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1300				2872			
MCLEAN,	VA 221	02		DATE MAIL ED: 11/03/200	DATE MAII ED: 11/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathscr{G}^{Γ}
09/903,210	YAMAMOTO, MASAO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lee Fineman	2872	
ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	s
avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment white all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply ich places the applicati	to a on in
EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 is and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See 136(a) and the appropriate exercise. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2)	MPEP tension fee ion fee under as set forth in
•		
pecause:		
ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
below);		
in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or sim	plifying the
eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
ction(s):		
d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	mendment
	sidered but does NOT	place the
ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
		d an
:		
s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examin	er.
ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
MARX	MULL A. ROSINSON	
	Examiner Lee Fineman Pears on the cover sheet with the content of the cover sheet with appeal fee); or (3) a time sheet (with appeal fee); or (4) a sheet (with appeal fee); or (5) a sheet (with appeal fee); or (6) a sheet (with appeal fee); or (7) a sheet (with appeal fee); or (8) a sheet (with appeal fee); o	Payon 210 Examiner Lee Fineman Ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address on the cover sheet with the proper reply on the final rejection. A proper reply on the final rejection on the final rejection on the final rejection on the final rejection. Since on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensed at a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensed attactory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) on this after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, mater the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, mater and the corresponding amount of the period set forth in the final rejection, even if timely filed, mater and the corresponding of the appeal. The period set forth in the period set forth in the final rejection, even if timely filed, mater and the corresponding of the appeal. The period set forth in the final rejection, even if timely filed and the appropriate extenses of the appeal of the appeal. The period set forth in the final rejection, even if timely filed and the appeal of the appeal

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/903,210





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues are at the least the proposed claim limitations directed to the light shield being a component of and integrated with an objective lens system.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed light shield plate from the cited prior art..